

REMARKS

The undersigned requests a personal interview with the Examiner in charge of this application to discuss the issues raised below.

The drawings were objected to on the grounds that a number of reference numerals mentioned in the description are not shown in the drawings. Of the numerals listed in this category, numeral 79 is shown in Fig. 21, numeral 103 is shown in Fig. 11, and numeral 152 is shown in Fig. 9. The undersigned was unable to find numerals 18 or 188 in the drawings or mentioned in the description, but they are listed in the Description of the Referenced Numerals in pages 24-30. Accordingly, the listing has been canceled from the specification.

The drawings were also objected to because numeral "84" shown in Figs. 2 and 11 point to different elements. A replacement sheet for Fig. 11 is submitted herewith changing the lead line for numeral "84". Reference numeral "62" is used to depict ballast tanks in Figs. 1 (presumably Fig. 2 was meant) and 14, and ballast tanks in Figs. 16 and 17 (pointing to different elements). This has been remedied by changing numeral 62 in Fig. 14 to 62' and 62 in Figs. 16 and 17 to 62". In Fig. 21, reference numeral 32 is missing a lead line. This numeral has been removed from the drawing. To effect the preceding changes, replacement sheets are being submitted herewith for the affected figures and appropriate changes are being made in the specification.

The specification was objected to for a number of informalities. The description of Fig. 2 and Fig. 9 call reference numeral 32 two different things. The paragraph describing Fig. 9 has been corrected. The Examiner noted other informalities in other pages and all have been corrected.

Claims 1-12 were rejected as not complying with the enablement requirement. The Examiner pointed out language in some of the claims which do not seem capable of being made. This includes claim 1 with respect to the sub-surface walkways, lack of support for

the spaced electrodes recited in claim 6, the central solar base energy core in claim 10, and the shield in claim 11. The claims have been reviewed with the above in mind and amended to correct the identified deficiencies, in claim 1 canceling "sub-surface" in subpar. i), and cancellation of claims 6, 10 and 11.


Claims 8-12 were rejected as being indefinite in that the subject matter of claim 8 appears to duplicate the subject matter of claim 1 and there is lack of antecedent basis for "said shield" in claim 11. Claims 8 and 11 have been canceled.

In view of the foregoing, the claims remaining appear to be in form for immediate allowance and such is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned or Mr. Kroll if further changes are required to obtain allowance of the application.

A favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



LEONARD BELKIN

Reg. No. 18,063

Tel 301-254-8549

For

Michael I. Kroll

Reg. No. 26,755

171 Stillwell Lane

Syosset, New York 11791

Tel 516-367-7777

Dated: Jan. 14, 2006

Friedmann
10/784,427

In the Drawings

Please enter the accompanying replacement sheets for figures 11, 14, 16, 17, and
21.